Asymmetric Functionalisation of Prochiral 1,3-Diols Based on an Efficient 1,6-Chiral Induction: the Diastereoselective C–O Bond Fission in Chiral β -Arylsulfinyl Acetal *via* Two Types of Chelation Control

Chuzo Iwata,* Naoyoshi Maezaki, Manabu Murakami, Motohiro Soejima, Tetsuaki Tanaka and Takeshi Imanishi

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, 1-6 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

The novel asymmetric functionalisation of a prochiral 1,3-diol is accomplished by the diastereoselective C–O bond fission of the chiral β -arylsulfinyl acetal *via* two types of chelation controlled transition states (**A** and **C** in Scheme 4).

1,3-Diol derivatives are very useful as versatile building blocks in many natural product syntheses.¹ The asymmetric functionalisation of prochiral 1,3-diols is one of the most efficient methods for chiral 1,3-diol construction.² While the chirality induction to prochiral 1,3-diols by enzymatic reactions are well known, the approach by chemical methods is rare. Recently, we reported asymmetric functionalisation of prochiral 1,3-diols by the intramolecular acetalisation of the prochiral 1,3-diols with chiral β -keto sulfoxides followed by the diastereoselective C–O bond fission of the acetals under acidic conditions to give the chiral dihydropyran derivatives **2**, which were equivalent to the monoprotected 1,3-diols.³ We have applied this strategy to the synthesis of (+)-talaromycin A and (-)-talaromycin B (Scheme 1).⁴ However, the cleavages of the bicyclic acetal **1** were probably affected by both of the chiral centres. Therefore, we designed the bicyclic acetal 3 in order to accomplish the diastereoselective C–O bond fission controlled only by a sulfinyl chirality. Such a transformation is equivalent to the rare 1,6-chiral induction.

The chiral dioxabicyclo [2.2.2] octane 3^{\dagger} was prepared from compound 5 in 60% overall yield as shown in Scheme 2.

[†] Spectroscopic data for compound **3**: $[\alpha]_D^{28} + 121$ (*c* 1.05, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν_{max}/cm^{-1} 3400, 2970, 2870, 1600, 1490, 1350, 1060, 1030; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CHCl₃): δ 1.86–1.99 (2H, m), 2.03–2.13 (1H, m), 2.32–2.43 (1H, m), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.85 (1H, d, *J* 13 Hz), 3.01 (1H, d, *J* 13 Hz), 4.03 (1H, d, *J* 8 Hz), 4.12 (1H, d, *J* 8 Hz), 4.17 (1H, d, *J* 8 Hz), 4.23 (1H, d, *J* 8 Hz), 7.30 (2H, d, *J* 8 Hz), 7.55 (2H, d, *J* 8 Hz); *m/z*: 266 (M⁺); *m/z* 266.0989 (Calc. 266.0977).







Scheme 1



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, (COCl)2, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), triethylamine, CH_2Cl_2 , $-60 \,^{\circ}C$ (76%); ii, (EtO)₂-P(O)CH₂CO₂Et, NaH, THF, $0 \,^{\circ}C$ (84%); iii, H₂, Pd-C, MeOH, room temp. (quant.); iv, BusLi, (R)-methyl p-tolyl sulfoxide, THF, -78°C (72%); v, p-MeC₆H₅SO₃H, H₂O, benzene, room temp. (96%)

Table 1 The reaction of bicyclic acetal 3 under acidic conditions



Conditions (equiv.)	Yield(%)	Ratio ^a 4a : 4b
TiCl ₄ (10), DME, ^b - 50 °C	No reaction	
TiCl ₄ (10), DME, −20 °C	83	64:36
TiCl ₄ (10), DME, room temp.	Complex mixture	_
$TiCl_4(10), Et_2O, -20 ^{\circ}C$	80	53:47
TiCl ₄ (10), THF, -20 °C	81	72:28
$CF_3CO_2H(10)$, THF, room temp.	48¢	1:1
AlCl ₃ (10), THF, room temp.	37	1:1

^a Determined by HPLC as the benzoate unless stated otherwise. ^b DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane. ^c Isolated as the trifluoroacetate. The ratio was determined by 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Table 2 The reaction of bicyclic acetal 3 under basic conditions



^{*a*} Determined by HPLC as the benzoate. ^{*b*} HMPA = hexamethylphosphorus triamide, DABCO = 1,4-diazabicyclo [2.2.2] octane.

91

35:65



4b; $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = CH_2OH$

LiNEt₂(6), THF, -78 °C

9a; R¹ = CH₂OCOCH₂S \mathbf{P}^2 (R)-10; R¹ = CH₂OAc, R² 9b; R¹ = H, R² = CH₂OCC $(S)-10; R^1 = H, R^2 = CH_2OAc$

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, p-MeC₆H₄SO₂Cl, triethylamine, dimethylaminopyridine, CH2Cl2, room temp.; O3, MeOH, -78°C then Me_2S , room temp. (8a: 72%, 8b: 72%); ii, NaClO₂, DMSO, NaH₂PO₄ buffer (9a: 96%, 9b: 96%); iii, Raney Ni, EtOH, room temp. [(S)-10: quant., (R)-10: quant.]



Scheme 4

For the regioselective C–O bond cleavage in **3**, various conditions were employed and the results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Treatment of **3** with titanium tetrachloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -20 °C resulted in a predominant cleavage at the bond 'a' yielding mainly **4a**, while under basic conditions [lithium diisopropylamide (LDA), N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA), THF, -78 °C to room temp.] The bond 'b' was cleaved more easily.‡ Other conditions employed were less effective.

The absolute configuration of the products 4a and b was confirmed by their transformation into the known y-lactone (R)-10 and the enantiomer (S)-10, respectively as shown in Scheme 3. The compounds 4a and b were separated as *p*-toluenesulfonates by HPLC (column: Waters, RCM 25 \times 10, mobile phase : hexane-AcOEt 1:1). The p-toluenesulfonate (Ts) of 4a was converted to the aldehyde 8a by reductive ozonolysis. Treatment of 8a with sodium chlorite resulted in the formation of γ -lactone 9a directly. In the reaction, the carboxylate anion generated by the oxidation of the aldehyde attacked the *p*-toluenesulfonate intramolecularly to afford the γ-lactone 9a. After desulfurisation by Raney Ni, the resulting γ -lactone (R)-10 showed the identical specific rotation with the authentic data. {(*R*)-10: $[\alpha]_D^{30} - 33.0$ (*c* 0.68, CHCl₃), lit. $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} - 33.1$ (CHCl₃). The other *p*-toluenesulfonate **4b** was also converted to (S)-10 { $[\alpha]_D^{23} + 34.1 (c \, 0.57, CHCl_3)$ } by the same procedure.

We suggest the following reaction mechanism of the acetal cleavage. The bidentate titanium tetrachloride would coordinate between the acetal and the sulfinyl oxygens. The bulky tolyl group would be situated at an equatorial position in a chair-like six-membered transition state (A and B in Scheme 4). On the other hand, the sulfinyl oxygen would coordinate with the adjacent lithium atom to form a four-membered ring under basic conditions,⁶ in which the tolyl group would be *trans* to the bulky bicyclo ring (C and D in Scheme 4). Since the sulfinyl group tends to locate *anti* to the bulky 7-methylene group rather than the oxygens,§ the transition states **A** and **C** would be more favourable than the transition states **B** and **D**, respectively.¶ Therefore, titanium tetrachloride affords the opposite diastereoselectivity to the amide bases.

In conclusion, we have described a novel method for the asymmetric functionalisation of prochiral 1,3-diols by diastereoselective C–O bond fission of the chiral β -arylsulfinyl

 $\$ The result of MM2 calculation in 1-ethyl-2,6-dioxabicyclo[2.2.2]octane shows that conformation E is 0.6 kcal mol $^{-1}$ more stable than conformation F.



acetal. The base promoted acetal cleavage is a new application of the anion neighbouring chiral sulfinyl groups to asymmetric synthesis.

Received, 30th December 1991; Com. 1/06488F

References

- 1 For the synthesis and the applications of 1,3-diols, see: J. W. Scott, in Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. J. D. Morrison and J. W. Scott, Academic Press, New York, 1984, vol. 4, p. 1; T. Murai, S. Kato, S. Murai, T. Toki, S. Suzuki and N. Sonoda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6093 and references cited therein; T. Corona, P. Crotti, M. Ferretti and F. Macchia, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985. 1607; S. L. Schreiber and M. T. Goulet, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 1043 and references cited therein; R. W. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 489; M. Takasu, Y. Naruse and H. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 1947; P. DeShong, D. M. Simpson and M.-T. Lin, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 2885; R. C. Larock, F. Kondo, K. Narayanan, L. K. Sydnes and M.-F. H. Hsu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 5737; F.-P. Montforts, I. G.-Zibulak, W. Grammenos, M. Schneider and K. Laumen, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1989, 72, 1852; D. R. Deardorff and D. C. Myles, Org. Synth., 1989, 67, 114; D. A. Evans and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6447; M. Asami, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1990, 63, 1402; S. D. Rychnovsky, S. Zeller, D. J. Skalitzky and G. Griesgraber, J. Org. Chem., 1990, **55**, 5550 and references cited therein; A. Alexakis and P. Mangeney, *Tetrahedron Asymm.*, 1990, **1**, 477 and references cited therein; Y. Yokoyama, H. Kawashima, M. Kohno, Y. Ogawa and S. Uchida, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 1479; D. A. Griffith and S. J. Danishefsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5863.
- 2 For other examples of the asymmetric functionalisation of prochiral diols, see for chemical methods: T. Mukaiyama, Y. Tanabe and M. Shimizu, Chem. Lett., 1984, 401; J. Ichikawa, M. Asami and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1984, 949; S. L. Schreiber and Z. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5303; T. Harada, T. Hayashiya, I. Wada, N. Iwa-ake and A. Oku, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 527; T. Harada, I. Wada and A. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 4181; A. Appelt, A. C. Willis and S. B. Wild, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 938, 1988; T. Harada, K. Sakamoto, Y. Ikemura and A. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 3097; T. Harada, I. Wada and A. Oku, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 2599; T. Harada, I. Wada, J. Uchimura, A. Inoue, S. Tanaka and A. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 1219. For enzymatic methods: M. Ohno and M. Otsuka, Org. React., 1989, 37, 1; D. Seebath, Angew. Chem., Int., Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 1320; Z.-F. Xie, Tetrahedron Asymm., 1991, 2, 733; J. Mulzer, H.-J. Attenbach, M. Braun, K. Krohn and H.-U. Reissing, in Organic Synthesis Highlight, VCH, New York, 1991, p. 224; G. Guanti, L. Banfi, C. Ghiron and E. Narisano, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 267.
- 3 C. Iwata, M. Fujita, Y. Moritani, K. Sugiyama, K. Hattori and T. Imanishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 3131.
- 4 C. Iwata, M. Fujita, Y. Moritani, K. Harroti and T. Imanishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 3135.
- 5 Y.-F. Wang and C. J. Sih, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 4999; K. Mori reported the specific rotation of (*R*)-**10** as $[\alpha]_D^{24} 25.3$ (*c* 0.41, CHCl₃), but the purity was not known (K. Mori and N. Chiba, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1989, 957).
- 6 For the diastereoselective reaction of the α-sulfinyl carbanions with electrophiles, see: J. F. Biellmann and J. J. Vicens, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1974, 2915; G. Chassaing, R. Lett and A. Marquet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, 471; S. Wolfe, L. A. LaJohn and D. F. Weaver, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, 25, 2863 and references cited therein; T. Sato, T. Itoh and T. Fujisawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 5677; R. Tanikaga, K. Hosoya, K. Hamamura and A. Kaji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 3705; K. Nakamura, M. Higaki, S. Adachi, S. Oka and A. Ohno, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, 52, 1414; R. Tanikaga, K. Hamamura, K. Hosoya and A.; Kaji, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 817; M. Casey, A. C. Manage and L. Nezhat, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, 29, 5821; R. Tanikaga and T. Murashima, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 2142; S. G. Pyne and B. Dikic, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 826; H. Sakuraba and S. Ushiki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 5349; H. Ohta, S. Matsumoto and T. Sugai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 2895.

[‡] The initially resulting α , β-unsaturated sulfoxide is completely isomerized to the β, γ-unsaturated sulfoxide under these reaction conditions.